Published online 2019 April 16.

**Brief Report** 



# The Relationship Between the Enneagram Personality Types and Health Responsibility in Patients with Substance Use Disorder; A Brief Report

Mozhgan Saeidi<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Mahdi Amiri<sup>2</sup>, Maryam Ahmadi <sup>1</sup> and Saeid Komasi <sup>1</sup>

Received 2019 February 07; Revised 2019 March 06; Accepted 2019 April 08.

#### **Abstract**

**Objectives:** The present study aimed at examining the relationship between the Enneagram personality types and health responsibility in patients with substance use disorder (SUD).

**Methods:** The current cross sectional study was conducted on 68 patients with SUD referred to methadone maintenance treatment clinics in Kermanshah city, Western Iran, in September 2017. The Riso-Hudson Enneagram type indicator (RHETI) and subscale of health responsibility from the health promoting lifestyle profile (HPLP-II) were used for data gathering. The obtained data were analyzed using Pearson correlation and multiple linear regression analysis.

**Results:** The mean  $\pm$  SD age of the samples (100% male) was 39.4  $\pm$  13.3 years. The obtained results showed a significant direct relationship between personality types 1 and 3 and health responsibility, while the same relationship was indirect for the personality type 4 (P < 0.05). Despite the inability of the three personality types in predicting health responsibility, the model generally could explain 12.5% of the variance in criterion variable.

**Conclusions:** Probably, screening the personality patterns of patients with SUD using the Enneagram model can be useful to identify vulnerable groups. Since SUD rehabilitation includes long-term formal nursing services, training should be focused on improved health responsibility among risk-taking personalities such as type 4.

Keywords: Healthy Lifestyle, Personality, Rehabilitation Nursing, Substance Use Disorder

### 1. Background

Substance use disorder (SUD) is a chronic, progressive, and destructive disease (1). The disorder is very common throughout the world (1). SUD is often accompanied by a long-term unhealthy lifestyle. In the primary prevention phase, the identification and screening of the personality variables related to adopting a healthy lifestyle may be effective in improving health-related quality of life and controlling the health threatening events. Thus, it is necessary to study the relationship between personality patterns defined in the form of new systems and components of health-promoting lifestyle such as health responsibility. Health responsibility, which is one of the components of a healthy lifestyle, involves individuals care for their own health and help with their own healthcare (2).

Studies often focused on neo five-factor personality model to investigate the relationship between per-

sonality traits including extroversion/introversion, positive/negative affect, neuroticism, and other components with addiction and utilized clinical tools such as the Millon clinical multiaxial inventory (MCMI) and the Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory (MMPI) (3, 4). The Enneagram personality system is among those personality models that are rarely used in this field, despite its efficiency and the fact that it can be easily quantified (5). According to the Enneagram system, people are categorized into nine personality types and there is usually a dominant personality type for each individual. The personality types are: perfectionist, helper, achiever, individualist, observer, loyalist, enthusiast, challenger, and mediator (6).

## 2. Objectives

Based on the considerations, the current study aimed at investigating the relationship between the Enneagram

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cardiac Rehabilitation Center, Imam Ali Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lifestyle Modification Research Center, Imam Reza Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Reza Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Reza Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Zakarya Razi Blvd., Kermanshah, Iran. Tel: +98-8334276299, Fax: +98-8334276299, Email: s\_komasi63@yahoo.com

personality types and health responsibility in patients with SUD.

#### 3. Methods

The current cross sectional study was conducted on 76 patients (68 people in final analysis) with SUD referred to methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) clinics across Kermanshah city. Western Iran, in September 2017. According to the formula (N > 50 + 8m) and three predictor variables in the current study, the sample size above 74 cases seemed appropriate (7). The randomly selected subjects responded to the questionnaire after signing the written informed consent to participate in the study. The Riso-Hudson Enneagram type indicator (RHETI) (8) and subscale of health responsibility from the health promoting lifestyle profile (HPLP-II) (9), reliable and valid instruments, were provided for the subjects by an experienced clinical psychologist. The RHTEI includes 144 two-option items (agree/disagree) and, as indicated above, measures nine types of personality. The retest, internal consistency reliability, and criterion validity of the instrument among Iranian samples were acceptable (8). HPLP-II is a 52-item inventory with six subscales including health responsibility, spiritual growth, physical activity, interpersonal relationships, nutrition, and stress management. The scoring is based on a four-point Likert scale (from 1: never to 4: always). Cronbach's alpha of the total scale and health responsibility components among Iranian population were 0.78 and 0.83, respectively (9). Finally, the data were analyzed using Pearson correlation and multiple regression analysis. All statistical analyses were two-tailed and were performed with SPSS version 20. The study was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was also approved by the Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.KUMS.REC.1397.267).

### 4. Results

The participants (100% male) were within the age range of 18 to 67 years with the mean age of 39.4  $\pm$  13.3. In terms of demographic characteristics, 47.1% of the participants were unmarried, 48.5% had high school diploma and higher education, and 72.1% were employed. Most common factors related to unhealthy lifestyle were hyperlipidemia (30.9%), hypertension (13.2%), myocardial infarction (8.8%), and diabetes mellitus (4.4%), respectively.

Table 1 shows the correlation between the three personality types (1, 2, and 3) and health responsibility. As can be observed, there was a significant direct relationship between types 1 and 3, and health responsibility (P < 0.05).

Conversely, there was a significant indirect relationship between personality type 4 and health responsibility (P = 0.044).

Table 2 shows the results of multiple regression model in the prediction of health responsibility. None of the personality types could predict criterion variable (P > 0.05). The model summary showed that the personality types could explain 12.5% of the health responsibility variance.

### 5. Discussion

The current study results showed a significant relationship between some personality types and health responsibility. Based on the current study findings, it can be concluded that identifying Enneagram personality types is effective in understanding health responsibility status and related behavior patterns among drug addicts. Along with the current study results, a study reported a significant relationship between personality patterns and health responsibility (10). The current study results showed that types 1 and 3 were directly correlated with health responsibility; although there was an indirect relationship between type 4 and health responsibility. The results of a recent study showed that higher scores of personality type 3 increased the likelihood of readiness for lifestyle modification (11). In addition to type 3, people with personality type 1 also have a high level of responsibility (12). However, high perfectionism often prevents programs from successful starting and finishing.

In line with the current study findings, the results of a study showed that personality type 4 was slightly inversely associated with readiness for lifestyle modification (11). Type 4, individualist, is more involved in his/her internal conflicts and unstable mood. People with personality type 4 often focus on negative emotions such as jealousy (12). Therefore, they pay less attention to the health and consequences of unhealthy lifestyle.

In general, some personality types may lead to harmful consequences on health (6). Conversely, learning the Enneagram typology is effective in promoting psychological growth and ego development (13). Based on these considerations, probably the screening of personality patterns of patients with SUD using the Enneagram model can be useful to identify vulnerable groups. Since SUD rehabilitation includes long-term formal nursing services, training should be focused on improved health responsibility among risk-taking personalities such as type 4.

The main limitation of the current study was the small sample size; performing further study on a larger sample size is recommended. In addition, it is also recommended to investigate female subjects with SUD in future studies.

Table 1. The Correlation of Personality Types with Health Responsibility

| Personality Type      | Mean ± SD —                       | Health Responsibility |         |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                       |                                   | r                     | P Value |
| Type 1, perfectionist | $14.73 \pm 4.09$                  | 0.340                 | 0.005   |
| Type 2, helper        | $16.37 \pm 3.45$                  | -0.072                | 0.562   |
| Type 3, achiever      | $12.5\pm4.39$                     | 0.239                 | 0.050   |
| Type 4, individualist | 19.66 $\pm$ 4.17                  | -0.245                | 0.044   |
| Type 5, observer      | $20.56\pm4.52$                    | -0.165                | 0.180   |
| Type 6, loyalist      | $19.88 \pm 4.48$                  | -0.133                | 0.280   |
| Type 7, enthusiast    | $\textbf{9.13} \pm \textbf{4.04}$ | 0.077                 | 0.532   |
| Type 8, challenger    | $14.31 \pm 2.90$                  | 0.016                 | 0.897   |
| Type 9, mediator      | $16.60 \pm 2.49$                  | 0.001                 | 0.994   |
| Health responsibility | $21.81 \pm 5.15$                  | -                     | -       |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

| Table 2. The Multiple Regression Model to Predict Health Response | ancihilitu <sup>a</sup> |
|---|-------------------------|

| Personality Type      | В     | β     | t     | P Value |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Type 1, perfectionist | 0.377 | 0.299 | 1.990 | 0.051   |
| Type 3, achiever      | 0.136 | 0.115 | 0.749 | 0.456   |
| Type 4, individualist | 0.021 | 0.017 | 0.095 | 0.924   |

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$ Summary of the model for health responsibility: R = 0.354, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.125, F = 3.055, P = 0.035.

## Acknowledgments

Authors wish to thank the Clinical Research Development Center of Imam Reza Hospital affiliated to Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences and all the participants.

#### **Footnotes**

**Conflict of Interests:** Authors declared no conflict of interests.

**Ethical Approval:** The project protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.KUMS.REC.1397.267).

**Funding/Support:** The present article was based on the findings of the research project no. 97334, supported by the vice-chancellor for research and technology of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences.

## References

Komasi S, Saeidi M, Zakiei A, Amiri MM, Soltani B. Cognitive restructuring based on metaphor therapy to challenge the irrational beliefs of drug addicts undergoing buprenorphine treatment. Int J High Risk Behav Addict. 2017;6(1). doi: 10.5812/ijhrba.31450.

- Resnik DB. Responsibility for health: Personal, social, and environmental. J Med Ethics. 2007;33(8):444–5. doi: 10.1136/jme.2006.017574. [PubMed: 17664299]. [PubMed Central: PMC2598168].
- Habibi Z, Sadeghi H, Haghrangbar F, Madanipour K, Azarnoosh A. The study of personality characteristics and mental health in addicts. Procedia Soc Behav Sci. 2013;84:509-13. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.06.594.
- Fakhrzadegan S, Gholami-Doon H, Shamloo B, Shokouhi-Moqhaddam S. The relationship between personality disorders and the type of crime committed and substance used among prisoners. *Addict Health*. 2017;9(2):64–71. [PubMed: 29299208]. [PubMed Central: PMC5742412].
- Schneider J, Schaeffer B. The enneagram typology: A tool for understanding and counseling sex addicts. Sex Addict Compulsivity. 1997;4(3):245–78. doi:10.1080/10720169708404230.
- Komasi S, Soroush A, Nazeie N, Saeidi M, Zakiei A. Enneagram personality system as an effective model in prediction of risk of cardiovascular diseases: A case-control study. J Cardiothorac Med. 2016;4(3):468–73. doi: 10.22038/JCTM.2016.7403.
- Pallant J. Spss survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for windows (version 12). 2nd ed. Australia: Allen & Unwin; 2005. p. 142–52.
- Hoseinian S, Azimipour P, Karami A, Yazdi S, Keshavarz G. Study of the psychometrical features of Enneagram personality types. Q J Career Organ Couns. 2012;4(12):125-44.
- Tanjani PT, Azadbakht M, Garmaroudi G, Sahaf R, Fekrizadeh Z. Validity and reliability of health promoting lifestyle profile II in the iranian elderly. *Int J Prev Med.* 2016;7:74. doi: 10.4103/2008-7802.182731. [PubMed: 27280010]. [PubMed Central: PMC4882969].
- Flynn KE, Smith MA. Personality and health care decision-making style. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2007;62(5):P261–7. doi: 10.1093/geronb/62.5.P261. [PubMed: 17906167]. [PubMed Central: PMC2211430].

- II. Komasi S, Zakiei A, Ghasemi SR, Rajabi Gilan N, Veisi A, Baghrirad D, et al. Is enneagram personality system able to predict perceived risk of heart disease and readiness to lifestyle modification? *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2019;22(3).
- 12. Riso DR, Hudson R. Discovering your personality type: The essential intro-
- duction to the Enneagram. New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; 2003.
- Daniels D, Saracino T, Fraley M, Christian J, Pardo S. Advancing ego development in adulthood through study of the Enneagram system of personality. J Adult Dev. 2018;25(4):229–41. doi: 10.1007/s10804-018-9289-x. [PubMed: 30416328]. [PubMed Central: PMC6208876].