RESEARCH

BMC Public Health

Open Access

Aspects associated with waterpipe smoking in Iranian youths: a qualitative study



Hamid Jafaralilou¹, Arman Latifi², Mehdi Khezeli^{3*}, Atefeh Afshari⁴ and Farahnaz Zare⁵

Abstract

Background: Waterpipe is one of the oldest methods of tobacco smoking, which has become the public health challenge, especially in the Eastern Mediterranean countries such as Iran. This study aimed to investigate the waterpipe smoking (WPS) in the young people of Kermanshah in 2020, using a qualitative method.

Methods: This was a qualitative study conducted with the approach of content analysis. Participants were young waterpipe user aged 17 to 25 years selected by purposeful sampling method in Kermanshah city, located in the west of Iran. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews in face-to-face and audio-recorded methods based on an interview guideline during June to August 2020. Then researchers transcribed verbatim and analyzed the content of the interviews thematically.

Results: In this study, 23 young people who were waterpipe users at the time of the study participated. The results showed that social aspects in three sub-categories were involved in WPS including "socio-cultural aspects", "socio-environmental aspects", and "social relations". Individual aspects of waterpipe use as second category also consisted of two sub-categories including "motivational aspects" and "lack of psycho-protective aspects".

Conclusions: It seems that the implementation of the policy of reducing access to waterpipe in public environments is effective in reducing waterpipe consumption. It is suggested that educational and interventions, based on targeted models and theories be implemented in order to increase young people's belief and perception on dangers of WPS, and to improve their self-efficacy to smoking cessation.

Keywords: Beliefs, Qualitative research, Perception, Self-efficacy, Water pipe smoking

Introduction

Smoking is a major risk factor for noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) such as cancer and heart diseases, causing more than 7 million deaths worldwide each year [1, 2]. Waterpipe known as Ghalian in Iran, is one of the oldest methods of tobacco smoking, which has now become one of the major public health challenges in the world, especially in the Eastern Mediterranean countries, including the Arab countries, Turkey, and Iran [3, 4]. Since the late 1990s, waterpipe has been introduced as an inexpensive and social method of smoking, especially

* Correspondence: khezelimehdi@yahoo.com

³Social Development and Health Promotion Research Center, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



among young people and students [5]. It is estimated that the prevalence of waterpipe smoking (WPS) in youths Eastern Mediterranean region (EMR), about 15% of use waterpipe [6]. A longitudinal study among young people in EMR showed a 40% in prevalence of WPS within 2 years of follow-up [7]. In Iran, various studies have reported high rates of WPS among young people, especially college students. For example, in studies by Latifi et al. in [8], Karimi-Afshar et al. [9], and Ghafouri et al. [10], more than a third of youths had a history of WPS or were current waterpipe users.

In Iran, similar to Arab countries, waterpipe has less social stigma than cigarettes. Although, in the past, waterpipe was more common among the elderly, today it has become very popular among young people as a

© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

means of leisure, social gathering and communicating [11, 12]. Studies have shown that waterpipe users believe that it is healthier than cigarettes, is not addictive, and its smoke is more enjoyable than cigarettes due to the use of Moassel or fruit-flavored tobaccos [13, 14]. However, studies have shown that WPS is associated with a number of harmful health consequences such as lung and esophageal cancers, respiratory diseases, low birth weight, and oral diseases [15]. In addition to chronic diseases, waterpipe exposes people to infectious diseases such as tuberculosis, and viral infections including hepatitis and herpes, as a result of sharing between waterpipe users [5, 12, 16]. Despite the health risks of waterpipe, its use is increasing and has reached alarming levels in some countries [15, 17].

This study was conducted in the Kermanshah city in western Iran, where the majority of residents are Kurds. Few studies have been conducted on the prevalence or desire of WPS in Kurdish people, but the prevalence of hookah use, especially at a young age, is significant and alarming in few studies. Bashirian et al., in a study showed that 20.4% of female adolescents in Kermanshah were current WP users [18]. Another study in Kermanshah found that 36.1% of high school boys reported ever hookah use and 17.1% mentioned WPS in the past month [19].

The development of effective intervention strategies to restrict the increasing use of waterpipe requires a clear understanding of the factors influencing this behavior [20]. It should also be noted that WPS depends on culture, ethnicity, and other social environments [21, 22]. Qualitative studies are the most important tools for understanding culture-based topics that can assess the WPS in a particular geographical or cultural area and provide rich information about the related factors [23]. Therefore, we conducted this study to investigate the WPS in the young people of Kermanshah in 2020 using a qualitative method.

Materials and methods

Design

This was a qualitative study conducted with the approach of content analysis to explore the participants' perspectives on the nature, and aspects associated to the WPS.

Participants

Participants of this study were young people aged 17 to 25 years in Kermanshah city, located in the west of Iran. They were Muslim Kurds or Persian and were also current waterpipe users. We used purposeful sampling method to select the participants by which the eligible waterpipe users were invited to interview in coffee shops or traditional restaurants. Criteria for entering the

research being 17 to 25 years old; both men and women, being current WP smoker i.e. WPS at least once in the last 30 days [24], having the ability to speak to record the interview, giving informed consent to participate in the study. The study did not consider any limitations on factors such as financial status, family status of WPS, marital status, level of education and some other underlying factors, although they may have been confounder factors.

Data collection

The data collection method was semi-structured interview based on an interview guideline during June to August 2020. Interview questions were designed to gather rich descriptions of experiences, and to achieve research objectives. It consisted of 10 questions originally, which sometimes increased according to the interviewees' responses (please refer to Table 1). Adequate sample size in qualitative studies is a subject-oriented issue based on information needs. In the present study, data collection continued until information saturation, which occurred with 23 interview. The male and female interviewers were members of research team and health promotion specialist.

It should be noted that the content of the interview guideline was confirmed by experts in related fields (three health education specialists, two psychologists, a sociologist, and a health promotion specialist).

Procedures and ethics

The interviews were conducted face-to-face and lasted 30 to 45 min in coffee shops or traditional restaurants in Kermanshah city. The interviews were recorded after obtaining written informed consent from the participants.

Table 1 Questions asked in the interview

Number	Question content		
1	Do you think the waterpipe smoking has social aspects? Can you explain?		
2	What social aspects of waterpipe smoking apply to you? Please explain.		
3	Do you think the waterpipe smoking has individual aspects? Can you explain?		
4	What individual aspects of waterpipe smoking apply to you? Please explain		
5	What increase your desire to waterpipe smoking?		
6	What reduce your desire to waterpipe smoking?		
7	What subject (s) are involved in starting your own waterpipe smoking?		
8	Do you prefer to smoke waterpipe at home or in public spaces? Why?		
9	Do you think waterpipe is more harmful or cigarettes?		
10	Have you ever tried to quit waterpipe? What was the result?		

All interviews were conducted in the language of the interviewee (Kurdish or Persian) for mutual and deeper understanding of the subject under study. Non-verbal messages of individuals and their body language were also recorded by memoing. We asked the interviewees to ask any questions they had at the time of the interview. Recording the interviews were also paused if interviewees wanted to make a comment out of the record. This study received ethics approval from the Research Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (KUMS.REC.1396.751). We confirm that all methods related to the human participants were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by Research Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences.

Data analysis

In the present study, according to the inductive approach, we transcribed verbatim the content of the interviews immediately after each interview considering the nonverbal clues such as Anger, sadness, smiles, regrets, etc. Three members of the research team read the texts separately, and in the first step, called the initial encounter, notes, associations, summaries, labels, and questions were noted in the left margin of the pages. In the second stage, which was the stage of identifying and labeling sub-categories, meaning units were determined and labeled. In the third stage, subcategories were organized and based on conceptual similarity, the main categories were finally determined.

Trustworthiness

In the present study, Lincoln and Guba's Evaluative Criteria was used to assess the trustworthiness of the data including credibility, transferability, confirmability, and dependability [25]. The two methods used to assess credibility were member checks and peer debriefing. In the member checks, a summary of the interview was returned to the participants to review the agreement. In cases where there was disagreement between the researchers and the participant, research team attempted to reach a common understanding through interaction with subjects. In peer debriefing, we invited experts in the fields of health, psychology, and sociology to comment on the results of the study and the categories extracted. To meet the transferability criteria, we used thick description of subject, and purposive sampling with maximum variance in term of education, job, gender, marital status, and age. Dependability was met by audit trails in the implementation of the research protocol including sample selection, data collection and analysis, and matching the findings. Peer check as a confirmability method was used to assess the confidence that the results would be confirmed by other researchers.

Results

In this study, 23 young people participated who were waterpipe users at the time of the study. The mean (SD) age of participants was 22.08 (2.74) years. The majority of participants were male (16; 69.56%), single (17; 78.26), had university education (12; 52.17%), and unemployed (13; 56.52%). Details of demographic characteristics of the interviewees is provided in Table 2.

Interviews with 23 young waterpipe users resulted in information saturation, and data replication. The initial codes were extracted according to the results of the interviews, and subsequently sub-categories and main categories were identified. In this study, two main categories were "social aspects of waterpipe use", and "individual aspects of waterpipe use" along with five subcategories. Each of these categories had one or more subcategories and the semantic codes, presented in Table 3.

Social aspects of waterpipe use

In this category, three aspects or sub-categories including "socio-cultural aspects", "socio-environmental aspects",

Table 2 Demographic characteristics of the interviewees (n = 23)

Age	Gender	Marital status	Education	Employment
23	Male	Single	University	Unemployed
20	Male	Single	Under diploma	Unemployed
19	Female	Single	University	Unemployed
18	Male	Single	Under diploma	Unemployed
25	Male	Married	University	Employee
23	Male	Married	Diploma	Self-employed
20	Male	Single	University	Unemployed
19	Male	Single	Under diploma	Unemployed
22	Female	Single	University	Unemployed
25	Female	Married	University	Housewife
25	Male	Married	University	Employee
24	Male	Single	University	Unemployed
23	Male	Single	University	Self-employed
26	Male	Single	University	Unemployed
21	Female	Single	Diploma	Unemployed
23	Male	Single	Diploma	Self-employed
21	Female	Married	Diploma	Housewife
21	Female	Single	University	Self-employed
25	Female	Single	Diploma	Unemployed
22	Male	Single	Diploma	Self-employed
25	Male	Married	University	Self-employed
20	Male	Single	Under diploma	Unemployed
18	Male	Single	Diploma	Unemployed
	23 20 19 18 25 23 20 19 22 25 25 24 23 26 21 23 21 23 21 25 22 25 22 25 20	23 Male 20 Male 19 Female 18 Male 25 Male 26 Male 27 Female 28 Female 29 Male 20 Male 23 Male 24 Male 25 Male 26 Male 21 Female 23 Male 24 Female 25 Male 26 Male 21 Female 23 Male 24 Female 25 Male 26 Male 21 Female 22 Male 23 Male 24 Female 25 Female 26 Male 27 Male 28 Male 29 Male <tr td=""></tr>	23MaleSingle20MaleSingle20MaleSingle19FemaleSingle18MaleSingle25MaleMarried23MaleSingle24MaleSingle25FemaleMarried26MaleSingle27FemaleSingle28MaleSingle29MaleSingle21FemaleSingle23MaleSingle24MaleSingle25MaleSingle26MaleSingle21FemaleSingle23MaleSingle24FemaleSingle25FemaleSingle26MaleSingle27MaleSingle28MaleSingle29MaleSingle20MaleSingle21FemaleSingle22MaleSingle23MaleSingle24MaleSingle25FemaleSingle26MaleSingle27MaleSingle28MaleSingle29MaleSingle20MaleSingle	23MaleSingleUniversity20MaleSingleUnder diploma19FemaleSingleUniversity18MaleSingleUniversity23MaleMarriedUniversity23MaleMarriedDiploma20MaleSingleUniversity23MaleSingleUniversity19MaleSingleUniversity19MaleSingleUniversity25FemaleMarriedUniversity25FemaleMarriedUniversity24MaleSingleUniversity23MaleSingleUniversity24MaleSingleUniversity25MaleSingleUniversity26MaleSingleDiploma23MaleSingleDiploma24FemaleSingleDiploma25FemaleSingleDiploma26MaleSingleDiploma21FemaleSingleDiploma21FemaleSingleDiploma22MaleSingleDiploma25FemaleSingleDiploma25MaleSingleUniversity20MaleSingleUniversity

Table 3 Categories, sub-categories and codes related to waterpipe use in the subjects

Categories	Sub- Categories	Codes
Social aspects of waterpipe use	Socio-cultural aspects	Social norm Family norm
	Socio-environmental aspects	Accessibility Unemployment Leisure time
	Social relations	Peer influence
Individual aspects of waterpipe use	Motivational aspects	Appeal Relaxing
	Lack of psycho-protective aspects	Risk perception Self-efficacy

and "social relations" were extracted, each contained one or more semantic codes.

I. Socio-cultural aspects

The analysis of the interviews resulted in two aspects related to culture in the subjects, which were: "social norm" and "family norm".

Social norm Fourteen participants believed that waterpipe is a popular social phenomenon in the community in which they lived and among their generation. Some people believed that waterpipe is not a stigma like cigarettes and there was no need to hide the WPS from others in society.

Participant # 22, single, male: "I smoke waterpipe like everyone else. I don't think it's wrong. Waterpipe is so popular".

Family norm According to nine participants, WPS in families has become a common issue and in five cases was reported as a family accepted behavior. They believed that the culture of WPS is ingrained in families and there is no prohibition on the use of waterpipe by parents, children, or spouses.

Participant # 3, single, female: "Participant # 3: "I smoke waterpipe at home, with my father and grandfather. My father says it's better for me to smoke waterpipe at home than outside".

II. Socio-environmental aspects

In this study, we categorized meaning codes related to economic aspects, physical environment, access, and other issues related to WPS in the environment in this sub-category. Specifically, three semantic codes including accessibility, unemployment, and leisure time were included in this sub-theme, which are mentioned below: Accessibility In this study, 19 participants reported easy access to waterpipe in multiple places such as coffee shops and restaurants as one of the reasons for their WPS.

Participant # 4, single, male: "We do not need to look for a coffee house. Now, in addition to the Taqe Bostan [a historical-recreational place in the north of Kermanshah city with a lot of coffee shops], there are many cafeterias in every area and neighborhood of the city. The prices may be different, but waterpipes can be found everywhere in the city".

Unemployment One of the issues related to WPS raised by participants was the lack of an activity as a "job" or in other words "being unemployed". According to many young people, unemployment has affected both their inclination towards waterpipe and the rate of WPS.

Participant # 1, single, male: "I'm unemployed and have no a job. In fact, I started smoking waterpipe due to the unemployment. If I had a job, I would not be here at this time and was at workplace.

Leisure time Lack of facilities and recreational places to spend leisure time was one of the environmental problems in the city of Kermanshah, which was mentioned by nine participants as a factor in their tendency towards WPS.

Participant # 12, single, male: "There are not many entertainment places in this city to go there. We are young and need fun. "Waterpipe and coffee houses have become our entertainment, work and life."

III. Social relations

In this study, social relations played a pivotal role in the initiation or continuation of WPS, and according to the

interviews, the semantic unit had a prominent role in the influence of peers.

Peer influence We found that influence of peers or friends was one of the most important aspects related to WPS in young people. Twenty of the interviewees stated that they smoked waterpipe for the first time with the compliments or insistence of their friends, and now they go to the cafeterias with their friends to use waterpipe. Some of them mentioned that the most important motivation for smoking waterpipe was to be with friends and to be among them.

Participant # 18, single, female: "I first came to the coffee shop with my classmates. I really did not intend to smoke a waterpipe. Girls and boys all smoked there, and I was tempted to smoke a waterpipe. Then it continued. It was not a bad experience".

Individual aspects of waterpipe use

In this study, the content analysis of the interviews showed that in addition to social issues, some individual aspects also influenced the tendency of people to WPS. This category contained two sub-categories including "motivational aspects" and "lack of psycho-protective aspects"; each contained two semantic codes.

I. Motivational aspects

Content analysis of the interviews revealed items that pointed to the attractiveness of WPS, including the smell and taste of fruit tobacco playing with smoke and creating smoke ring, and the calmness due to WPS. According to the semantic similarities, we put these aspects in two meaning codes including Appeal and Relaxing.

Appeal From the point of view of all participants, waterpipe was an attractive means of smoking. The most important antisocial factor in the attractiveness of waterpipe was the taste and smell of waterpipe tobacco, and the resulting smoke.

Participant # 17, married, female "The taste of waterpipe is very important to me. In fact, I love the waterpipe because of its taste and smell!"

Relaxing Twelve of the interviewees believed that smoking a waterpipe makes them feel calm. Some believed that waterpipe nicotine is far less than cigarettes, but it is far more sedative. To justify this, eight of them believed that they do not take waterpipe smoke into their lungs and only enjoy smoking it, and that enjoying it makes them feel calm.

Participant # 23, single, female: "When I have a problem with my family, I smoke a waterpipe to relax and temporarily I forget my problems. It is better to say that I try to forget.

Participant # 5, married, male: "No one can say that waterpipe is not relaxing. Maybe because of nicotine, I relax when I smoke waterpipe. By the way, when I do not smoke, I feel upsetting."

II. Lack of psycho-protective aspects

We found that the participants attributed the lack of some psychological factors to their tendency to use waterpipe or the rate of its use. Two semantic codes including risk perception and self-efficacy were classified in this sub-theme.

Risk perception We categorized some perspectives such as low perceived risk of waterpipe, comparison of side effects of cigarette smoking with waterpipe, reducing health effects of waterpipe by mechanism of passing smoke through water, and low nicotine of waterpipe in this category.

Participant # 13, single, male: "Everyone knows that waterpipe is much better and less harmful than cigarettes. I did not smoke cigarettes but I know cigarettes are very harmful. I do not bother much when I smoke waterpipe."

Participant # 12, single, male: "Do you know why waterpipe is less harmful? Because its smoke passes through the water and its poisons and harmful substances are removed. Light waterpipes such as lemon-mint or chewing gum are not harmful".

Self-efficacy One of the important issues introduced by 11 of the participants was their lack of resistance to WPS and their low motivation to quit waterpipe. Some of them reported that although they were aware of some harms of waterpipe, their inability to resist the temptation of waterpipe led them to start using waterpipe. We named these aspects as low self-efficacy.

Participant # 21, married, male: "Although I smoke waterpipe for fun, but I cannot quit. Every time I want to quit waterpipe, two or three days later I am tempted again."

Participant # 16, single, male: "I do not think about giving up waterpipe because I know I cannot quit. When I like it, it is difficult for me to quit. I see no reason to quit."

Discussion

The present study was a content analysis study that was conducted to understand the WPS and related aspects among young people in Kermanshah. The results of this study showed that social aspects in three sub-categories were involved in WPS include: socio-cultural aspects, socio-environmental aspects, and social relations. Individual aspects that referred to waterpipe tool, or psychological aspects were also categorized in another category, including two sub-categories: motivational aspects and lack of psycho-protective aspects.

Social aspects of waterpipe use

Various studies have shown that social issues are among the most important aspects of WPS, which include issues such as social popularity, the impact of family and peers, and access to waterpipe [21, 26]. Other studies have shown that in Middle Eastern culture, WPS is recognized as a cost-effective and pleasant social activity with low health risks [27]. However, because waterpipe is a culturally related phenomenon, qualitative studies are needed to understand the relevant factors in each society [28].

In the present study, socio-cultural aspects were classified into two sub-categories: social norm and family norm. Waterpipe in Iranian society opposes gender stereotypes and social stigma and has become popular among women and girls, and young people in recent years [29]. The results of a qualitative study in northern Iran showed that waterpipe in Iran has less stigma than cigarettes. This belief has led to waterpipe being accepted as a traditional and safe pastime in many families and has become a social norm [30]. In some Arab countries, where gender discrimination is a common issue, the stigma of WPS has diminished in recent years, and women are more likely to smoke waterpipe freely and without shame [31].

In this study, consistent with other studies in Iran, participants pointed to the effective role of the family in initiating or continuing WPS [29, 32, 33]. In a qualitative study in Iran, most participants emphasized the role of the family in starting the WPS and believed that the patterns, conditions and educational system of families play a key role in orienting family members towards WPS [34]. In the present study, participants believed that waterpipe use was more prevalent among family members in whom WPS was more common as a family tradition, a finding that was consistent with other studies [30, 35].

Access to waterpipe as a socio-environmental factor has been emphasized in literature related to the prevalence of WPS. From the perspective of the participants in a qualitative study, the supply of waterpipe in public places, especially in cafes and restaurants has helped to increase its use and transfer consumption from homes to the public sphere [36]. Cafes and restaurants provide waterpipe for people who do not have the facilities or patience to prepare it. Waterpipe consumption requires the purchase of the device, tobacco and all its accessories. This is time consuming but all these problems have been solved by cafes and restaurants [36]. Other studies have confirmed that access to waterpipe in traditional cafes increases the chances of WPS among young people [35, 37].

The availability of waterpipe in the social environment and its comorbidity with the lack of facilities for spending leisure time in the community is another important environmental factor that has led young people to use waterpipe. The results of a study in Iran showed that the most important factor affecting WPS from the students' point of view was spending leisure time [38]. It seems that one of the most important ways to reduce WPS in society is implementing policies to reduce its access and supply in public [26, 30].

Unemployment was another socio-environmental factor of WPS that was expressed by some participants in the present study. They believed that unemployment and consequently having free time was one of the factors that started WPS or increased their consumption. Other studies have shown that the prevalence of WPS among unemployed people was higher than other occupational groups [33, 39].

In this study, social relations was another factor related to WPS, which refers to the impact of peers and friends on waterpipe use in young people. The results of a study showed that the number of days that adolescents and young people spend with friends is directly related to their chances of using waterpipe [40]. The results of another study showed that peer pressure more than curiosity led adolescents to use waterpipe [23]. It seems that the main mechanism of peer influence on WPS is learning how to use waterpipe in friendly or two-person groups [14, 41, 42].

Individual aspects of waterpipe use

In this study, we found that individual aspects, especially psychological, are related to hookah use in young people. The sub-category of "motivational aspects" included two semantic codes: "appeal" and "relaxing". Many participants emphasized that waterpipe as a means of smoking has special charms and fundamental differences with cigarettes, which has increased its popularity. In a qualitative study, waterpipe users believed that the appeal of WPS was multifaceted. Taste, smell, and sight were significant sensory cues that contributed to its attractiveness. The use of a wide range of flavoring tobaccos, and innovations associated with large volumes of smoke also contribute to the attractiveness of waterpipe [43]. A qualitative study in Saudi women who used waterpipe showed that the smell and taste of tobacco in different flavors such as cappuccino, chewing gum, and various fruits played an important role in the attractiveness of waterpipe [31].

Another motivating factor for WPS expressed by the participants in this study was the relaxing effect of waterpipe. Similarly, Saffarzadegan et al., in their study concluded that the relaxation seeking is one of the main factors in the tendency of young people to waterpipe [32]. In a qualitative study, Iranian Turkmen men did not mention the relaxing effect of WPS [44]. Other studies have shown that positive perceptions related to waterpipe such as relaxation, encourage and maintain WPS [45, 46]. Given the findings that emphasize relaxation as a key feature of WPS, interventions should focus on providing alternative methods that can meet this need in young people instead of waterpipe.

In the present study, lack of two psycho-protective aspects against waterpipe was identified, which included risk perception and self-efficacy. Consistent with many other quantitative and qualitative studies in the world, the results of the present study showed that the perceived risk related to the health effects of waterpipe and its addictive nature was low in consumers. Participants in the study believed that waterpipe was less dangerous and addictive than smoking. In a similar study, those who smoked cigarette and waterpipe reported that waterpipe is less dangerous, less addictive than cigarettes, and "lighter", "cooler" and "milder" than cigarette smoke [43]. In other studies in Lebanon and the United States, young people have also emphasized that waterpipe is less dangerous than cigarettes [47, 48]. Participants in this study, in line with the study of Jawad et al. [43], reported that harmful chemicals are filtered due to the passage of smoke through the water in waterpipe, and this process reduces its risks and harms compared to cigarettes. Therefore, it is necessary to implement educational interventions to increase people's knowledge about the amount of nicotine in waterpipe tobacco, the possibility of addiction, and the inefficiency of passing smoke through water in reducing nicotine.

Self-efficacy is one of the most important personal factors that is used both to resist the temptation to smoke in public and to stop waterpipe among smokers [49]. In WPS behavior, there is an interaction between behavioral, personal and environmental factors, and the process of interactions may affect a teenager's belief in the temptation to smoke a waterpipe. The results of a cross-sectional study in Iranian male adolescents showed that lack of self-efficacy was the strongest determinant of WPS [50] which was consistent with similar studies [51, 52]. Another study also found that most women who use waterpipe cited poor self-efficacy as an important factor in WPS. They also stated that they could not resist the temptation to waterpipe use in difficult conditions [34].

One of the most important limitations of this study was the uncertainty or unwelcome attitude of some young people, which led to a longer data collection time. Also, in some cases, the owners of the cafeterias prevented the interview in their cafeteria. Moreover, the effect of ethnicity can be considered as a limitation for the generalizability of the results of this study.

Conclusions

The results of the present study showed that social environment, culture and relations were social aspects of WPS in youths. It seems that the implementation of the policy of reducing access to waterpipe in public environments can reduce WPS. Individual aspects such as motivation, beliefs and psycho-protective also were related to the WPS in participants. It is suggested that educational and interventions, based on models and theories such as health belief model (HBM), theory of planned behavior (TPB), and extended parallel process model (EPPM) be implemented in order to increase young people's belief and perception on dangers of WPS, and to improve their self-efficacy to smoking cessation.

Abbreviations

EMR: Eastern Mediterranean region; NCDs: noncommunicable diseases; WPS: waterpipe smoking

Acknowledgments

The researchers would like to thank all the participants.

Authors' contributions

MKh and JA designed the study. AL, AA, and FZ performed recruitment and data collection. MKh, JA, and AL transcribed verbatim the interviews. JA is the primary author in the writing of the manuscript. All authors critically revised and substantially contributed throughout the writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work is financially supported by the supported by Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (Grant No. 980129). The funders played no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, interpretation or writing of the report.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We confirm that all methods related to the human participants were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by Research Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. This study also received ethics approval from the Research Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (No.IR.KUMS.REC.1396.751). Written informed consent form was obtained from the participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Public Health, Khoy University of Medical Sciences, Khoy, Iran. ²Department of Public Health, Maragheh University of Medical Sciences, Maragheh, Iran. ³Social Development and Health Promotion Research Center, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. ⁴Department Of Community Health Nursing, School of Nursing And Midwifery, Nursing Midwifery Care Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁵Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Khomeini and Mohammad Kermanshahi and Farabi Hospitals, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Received: 24 March 2021 Accepted: 29 August 2021 Published online: 07 September 2021

References

- World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2019: Offer help to quit tobacco use. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
- Stanaway JD, Afshin A, Gakidou E, Lim SS, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1923–94.
- Maziak W, Eissenberg T, Klesges RC, Keli U, Ward KD. Adapting smoking cessation intervention for developing countries: a model for the Middle East. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2004;8(4):403–13.
- Tavafian S-S, Aghamolaei T, Zare S. Waterpipe smoking and health-related quality of life: a population-based study. Arch Iran Med. 2009;12(3):232–7.
- World Health Organization. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: health effects, research needs and recommended actions by regulators. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.
- Maziak W, Taleb ZB, Bahelah R, Islam F, Jaber R, Auf R, et al. The global epidemiology of waterpipe smoking. Tob Control. 2015;24(Suppl 1):i3–12. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051903.
- Mzayek F, Khader Y, Eissenberg T, Al Ali R, Ward KD, Maziak W. Patterns of water-pipe and cigarette smoking initiation in schoolchildren: Irbid longitudinal smoking study. Nicotine Tob Res. 2011;14(4):448–54. https://doi. org/10.1093/ntr/ntr234.
- Latifi A, Mohammadi S, Barkhordari A, Khezeli M, Khezeli M, Salmani B, et al. Self-efficacy of young adults across stages of waterpipe cessation-a modelbased cross-sectional study. J Evolution Med Dent Sci. 2017;6(92):6545–50. https://doi.org/10.14260/jemds/2017/1419.
- Karimi-Afshar M, Khaleghi A, Rafie F, Torabi M. Prevalence of waterpipe smoking and awareness of related orodental complications in university students in Kerman, Iran. J Oral Health Oral Epidemiol. 2020;9(4):209–15.
- Ghafouri N, Hirsch JD, Heydari G, Morello CM, Kuo GM, Singh. Waterpipe smoking among health sciences university students in Iran: perceptions, practices and patterns of use. BMC Res Notes. 2011;4(1):496. https://doi. org/10.1186/1756-0500-4-496.
- Kelishadi R, Mokhtari MR, Tavasoli AA, Khosravi A, Ahangar-Nazari I, et al. Determinants of tobacco use among youths in Isfahan, Iran. Int J Public Health. 2007;52(3):173–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-007-6017-x.
- 12. Knishkowy B, Amitai Y. Water-pipe (Narghile) smoking: an emerging health risk behavior. Pediatrics. 2005;116:113–9.
- Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Gray JN, Srinivas V, Wilson N, Maziak W. Characteristics of U.S. waterpipe users: a preliminary report. Nicotine Tob Res. 2007;9(12):1339–46. https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200701705019.
- 14. Braun RE, Glassman T, Wohlwend J, Whewell A, Reind DM. Hookah use among college students from a Midwest University. J Community Health. 2012;37(2):294–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-011-9444-9.
- Akl EA, Gaddam S, Gunukula SK, Honeine R, Jaoude PA, Irani J. The effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes: a systematic review. Int J Epidemiol. 2010;39(3):834–57. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyq002.
- Maziak W, Ward KD, Afifi RA, Eissenberg T. Tobacco smoking using a Waterpipe: a re-emerging strain in a global epidemic. Tob Control. 2004; 13(4):327–33. https://doi.org/10.1136/tc.2004.008169.
- 17. American Lung Association. Tobacco policy trend alert; an emerging deadly trend: waterpipe tobacco use. 2007.

- Bashirian S, Barati M, Karami M, Hamzeh B, Ezati E. Predictors of shisha smoking among adolescent females in Western Iran in 2019: using the prototype-willingness model. Tob Prev Cessat. 2020;6(August). https://doi. org/10.18332/tpc/125357.
- Bashirian S, Barati M, Mohammadi Y, Mostafaei H. Factors associated with hookah use among male high school students: the role of demographic characteristics and hookah user and non-user prototypes. J Res Health Sci. 2016;16(4):217–23.
- Rastam S, Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Maziak W. Estimating the beginning of the waterpipe epidemic in Syria. BMC Public Health. 2004;4(1):32. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2458-4-32.
- Momenabadi V, Hossein Kaveh M, Hashemi SY, Borhaninejad VR. Factors affecting hookah smoking trend in the society: a review article. Addict Health. 2016;8(2):123–35.
- Hammal F, Mock J, Ward K, Eissenberg T, Maziak W. A pleasure among friends: how narghile (waterpipe) smoking differs from cigarette smoking in Syria. Tob Control. 2008;17(2):e3. https://doi.org/10.1136/tc.2007.020529.
- Akl EA, Ward KD, Bteddini D, Khaliel R, Alexander AC, Lotfi T, et al. The allure of the waterpipe: a narrative review of factors affecting the epidemic rise in waterpipe smoking among young person globally. Tob Control. 2015; 24(Suppl 1):i13–21. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051906.
- Global Youth Tobacco Survey Collaborative Group. Global youth tobacco survey (GYTS): Core questionnaire with optional questions, Version 1.2. 2012.
- Lincoln YS, Guba EG. But is it rigorous? Trustworthiness and authenticity in naturalistic evaluation. New Direct Prog Eval. 1986;1986(30):73–84. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ev.1427.
- Dadipoor S, Kok G, Aghamolaei T, Heyrani A, Ghaffari M, Ghanbarnezhad A. Factors associated with hookah smoking among women: a systematic review. Tob Prev Cessat. 2019;5(August). https://doi.org/10.18332/tpc/11 0586.
- Roskin J, Aveyard P. Canadian and English students' beliefs about waterpipe smoking: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2009;9(1):10. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-10.
- Samet JM, Yoon S-Y, World Health Organization. Gender, women, and the tobacco epidemic. WHO library cataloguing-in-publication data 2010. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- Sighaldeh SS, Baheiraei A, Dehghan S, Charkazi A. Persistent use of hookah smoking among Iranian women: a qualitative study. Tob Prev Cessat. 2018;4:38.
- Sighaldeh SS, Zarghami F, Charkazi A, Negarandeh R. A Qualitative Study of Factors Influencing the Onset of Hookah Smoking among Iranian Men with Turkmen Ethnicity. J Epidemiol Global Health. 2020;10(2):135–42.
- Abdulrashid OA, Balbaid O, Ibrahim A, Shah HB. Factors contributing to the upsurge of water-pipe tobacco smoking among Saudi females in selected Jeddah cafés and restaurants: a mixed method study. J Fam Community Med. 2018;25(1):13–9. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfcm.JFCM_3_17.
- Sarrafzadegan N, Toghianifar N, Roohafza H, Siadat Z, Mohammadifard N, O'Loughlin J. Lifestyle-related determinants of hookah and cigarette smoking in Iranian adults. J Community Health. 2010;35(1):36–42. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10900-009-9186-0.
- Danaei M, Jabbarinejad-Kermani A, Mohebbi E, Momeni M. Waterpipe tobacco smoking prevalence and associated factors in the southeast of Iran. Addict Health. 2017;9(2):72–80.
- Dadipoor S, Kok G, Aghamolaei T, Ghaffari M, Heyrani A, Ghanbarnezhad A. Explaining the determinants of hookah consumption among women in southern Iran: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(1):1–3.
- Kearns R, Gardner K, Silveira M, Woodland L, Hua M, Katz M, et al. Shaping interventions to address waterpipe smoking in Arabic-speaking communities in Sydney, Australia: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):1379. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6270-3.
- Nakkash RT, Khalil J, Afifi RA. The rise in narghile (shisha, hookah) waterpipe tobacco smoking: a qualitative study of perceptions of smokers and nonsmokers. BMC Public Health. 2011;11(1):315. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-24 58-11-315.
- Sutfin EL, McCoy TP, Reboussin BA, Wagoner KG, Spangler J, Wolfson M. Prevalence and correlates of waterpipe tobacco smoking by college students in North Carolina. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2011;115(1–2):131–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2011.01.018.
- Dehdari T, Jafari A, Joveyni H. Students' perspectives in Tehran University of Medical Sciences about factors affecting smoking hookah. Razi J Med Sci. 2012;19(95):17–24.

- Baheiraei A, Mirghafourvand M, Nedjat S, Mohammadi E, Charandabi SM. Prevalence of water pipe use and its correlates in Iranian women of reproductive age in Tehran: a population-based study. Med Princ Pract. 2012;21(4):340–4. https://doi.org/10.1159/000336583.
- Kelishadi R, Heshmat R, Shahsanai A, Djalalinia S, Motlagh ME, Keikha M, et al. Determinants of tobacco and hookah smoking in a nationally representative sample of Iranian children and adolescents: the CASPIAN-IV study. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2016;18(8):e31099. https://doi.org/10.5812/ ircmj.31099.
- Habibullah S, Ashraf J, Javed R, et al. Prevalence of Shisha smoking in college, university and Madarsa Students aged 20–25 years in Pakistan. Pak J Med Res. 2013;52:3.
- Sharma E, Clark PI, Sharp KE. Understanding psychosocial aspects of waterpipe smoking among college students. Am J Health Behav. 2014;38(3): 440–7. https://doi.org/10.5993/AJHB.38.3.13.
- Jawad M, Jawad S, Mehdi A, Sardar A, Jawad AM, Hamilton FL. A qualitative analysis among regular waterpipe tobacco smokers in London universities. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(10):1–6.
- Shahbazi Sighaldeh S, Zarghami F, Charkazi A. Beliefs regarding Waterpipe smoking among Iranian men of Turkmen ethnicity a qualitative study conducted in Golestan Province. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2020;20(2):216– 22. https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.2020.20.02.013.
- Kakodkar PV, Bansal SS. Hookah smoking: characteristics, behavior and perceptions of youth smokers in Pune. India Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013; 14(7):4319–23. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.7.4319.
- Smith-Simone S, Maziak W, Ward KD, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and behavior in two U.S. samples. Nicotine Tob Res. 2008;10(2):393–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/1462220070182 5023.
- Primack BA, Sidani J, Agarwal AA, Shadel WG, Donny EC, Eissenberg TE, et al. Prevalence of and associations with waterpipe tobacco smoking among U.S. university students. Ann Behav Med. 2008;36(1):81–6. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12160-008-9047-6.
- Labib N, Radwan G, Mikhail N, Mohamed MK, Setouhy ME, Loffredo C, et al. Comparison of cigarette and water pipe smoking among female university students in Egypt. Nicotine Tob Res. 2007;9(5):591–6. https://doi.org/10.1 080/14622200701239696.
- Hiemstra M, Otten R, de Leeuw RN, van Schayck OC, Engels RC. The changing role of self-efficacy in adolescent smoking initiation. J Adolesc Health. 2011;48(6):597–603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.09.011.
- Karimy M, Niknami S, Heidarnia AR, Hajizadeh E, Shamsi M. Refusal selfefficacy, self-esteem, smoking refusal skills and water pipe (hookah) smoking among iranian male adolescents. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(12):7283– 8. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.12.7283.
- De Vries H, Engels R, Kremers S, Wetzels J, Mudde A. Parents' and friends' smoking status as predictors of smoking onset: findings from six European countries. Health Educ Res. 2003;18(5):627–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/ cyq032.
- Norman P, Conner M, Bell R. The theory of planned behavior and smoking cessation. Hlth Psychol. 1999;18(1):89–94. https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.18.1.89.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

